

Victor Khomenko, Danil Sokolov, Alex Yakovlev



### Why hierarchical?

- The only way to design large systems
  - Requests from the engineers
  - Natural development of Workcraft



- Automation of repetitive tasks by tracking module dependencies
  - Reduces chance of human error
  - Reduces the amount of necessary documentation
- Promotes design reuse (within a project and between projects)
- Improves maintainability

# Case study: Navigation

Realistic systems comprise many modules

Multiple versions of the same module





- Modules are stored as separate files, often without consistent naming
- Iterative refinements of each module (CSC resolution, concurrency reduction, logic decomposition)
- Different people working on different modules
- 100-1000s of files to navigate ⊗
- Numerous relationships of various kinds between files that one needs to understand and document <sup>(2)</sup> <sup>(2)</sup> <sup>(2)</sup>
- Tracking dependencies and navigation can (and should!) be automated

## Case study: Design reuse

Some modules are instantiated multiple times in the same design

- Stages of multiphase buck
- Delay controllers
- Pipeline controllers
- Modules may be reused between designs
  - Opportunistic merge
  - Family of A2A elements (WAIT, WAITX, etc.)
- Hierarchical design helps to identify reusable modules
- Module reuse should be made easy avoid repeating work
- User-extendible library of reusable modules



## Case study: Import/export



- Exporting the whole design hierarchy as modular Verilog netlist
- Import of modular Verilog
- Option for uniquification

# Case study: Hierarchical verification

- Tracking dependencies enables automatic formulation of verification obligations
  - Saves manual effort
  - Reduces risk of human error
  - More efficient due to the most abstract models picked up automatically
- Custom properties can be specified at the most appropriate levels of hierarchy
- Abstract models can be inserted into hierarchy
  - Way of capturing the knowledge about the system
  - Improves the efficiency of verification
  - E.g. token ring stage (e.g. phase of a multiphase buck) can be abstracted as a buffer





#### Case study: Hierarchical simulation

- Simulating an execution at different levels of hierarchy
  - Outputs of modules which are not implement can be provided manually
  - Synchronised simulation of several modules
- Local views of a violation trace from formal verification



#### Case study: Hierarchical synthesis

- Push-button synthesis saves manual effort
- Optimisation due to caching synthesis results and preservation of intermediate STGs for CSC resolution and technology mapping
- Re-synthesis of module compositions
- Decomposition of monolithic modules using DesiJ



#### Case study: Hierarchical reset

- Saving manual effort
- Reusing existing reset circuitry for modules
- Optimise reset logic by tracking dependencies (when looking at a module in isolation, one cannot rely on inputs being reset, but context can help)



## Case study: Hierarchical loop breaking

- Saving manual effort
- Optimising loop breaking due to tracking dependencies
- Taking global loops into account
- No need to rely on PrimeTime loop breaking
- Higher ATPG coverage



# Representation in Workcraft

- Two fundamental relations between modules
  - Module A instantiates module B (A must be a circuit)
  - Module C refines module D
  - Special low-level modules: complex gates, library gates, MUTEX, WAIT
- No cycles
- Design can be represented as a rooted directed acyclic graph





#### Vision

- Productivity (10x increase)
  - Tracking dependencies
  - Automation of recurring tasks
  - Higher synthesis success rate
  - Systematic design reuse
- Confidence (10x reduction of human error rate)
  - Enhanced verification flow exploiting module dependencies
- Better circuits (up to 50% improvement of latency and area)
  - Optimisation of reset and test circuitry

